

DIST/OFFICE	DOCKET YR. NUMBER	FILING DATE MO. DAY YEAR	J	N/S	O	R	R 23	\$	DEMAND OTHER	JUDGE NUMBER	JURY DEM.	DOCKET YR. NUMBER
1085/4	75 0098	03 17 75	3	442	1				2,000	8509		75 0098-B

PLAINTIFFS

RAY TAYLOR, JR., STANFORD DANIEL,
and CHARLES D. HOLLOWAY
REUBEN HURA, JERLYN M. DARWIN

DEFENDANTS

*dismissed
10-6-75*

~~TULSA CITY-COUNTY HEALTH DE-
PARTMENT, a division of the
municipal corporation of the
City of Tulsa and of Tulsa
County, a political subdivi-
sion of the State of Oklahoma;
DR. GEORGE W. PROTHRO, Director
of the Tulsa City-County Health
Department and individually;
ROBERT LaFORTUNE, Mayor of the
City of Tulsa and individually;
LEWIS HARRIS, Commissioner of
Tulsa County and individually;
FLOYD H. OAKLEY, Commissioner of
Tulsa County and individually;
ROBERT S. NEWHOUSE, Commissioner
of Tulsa County and individually;~~

CAUSE

Continued last page

42 USC 1981, 1983, 1985 (3) 1986 & 2,000 et seq. This Complaint seeks
to correct the employment practices of the defendants.

ATTORNEYS

HENRY W. FLOYD
Attorney for Plaintiffs
1916 North Eastern Avenue
Oklahoma City, Okla. 73111
405-427-0805

*dismissed
10-6-75*

~~S. M. FALLIS, JR. (Harris, Oakley
DISTRICT ATTORNEY & Newhouse)
County Court House
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103~~

Andy Allen (Harris, Oakley &
Asst. Dist. Atty. Newhouse)
County Courthouse
Tulsa, Okla. 74103

Waldo F. Bales, City Atty.
Imogene Harris (Dr. Geo. Prothro)
Tom R. Gann
Asst. City Attys., 200 Civic
Tulsa, Okla. Center
74103

S. M. Fallis, Jr., D.A. (Prothro)
Andrew B. Allen, Asst. D.A.
Tulsa County Courthouse
Tulsa, OK 74103

<input type="checkbox"/> CHECK HERE IF CASE WAS FILED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	FILING FEES PAID			STATISTICAL CARDS	
	DATE	RECEIPT NUMBER	C.D. NUMBER	CARD	DATE MAILED
				JS-5	4-1-75
				JS-6	2-1-76

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
3-17-75	1.	Complaint, filed. Summons issued. lm
3-17-75	2.	BRIEF in support of pltf's Complaint, filed. lm
3-21-75		Summons, ret. & filed: served Tulsa City-County Health Dept., Dr. Geo. W. Prothro, Robert LaFortune, Robert S. Newhouse, Lewis Harris, Floyd D. Oakley, Francis P. Campbell, John P. Thomas, Jr., Sid Patterson, Wm. H. Morris, Jr., and Carroll Griffith, all in Tulsa, Ok. on 3-19-75. (USM \$34.20)g
3-24-75	3.	Amended complaint, filed. g
3-28-75	4.	Certificate of mailing amended complaint, filed. g
4-3-75	5.	Deft's Application for Extension of Time Within Which to further Plead or Answer, & Order Granting Extension of Time, filed. JCS-Clerk mm
4-7-75	6.	Special Appearance and Mtn. to Dismiss Action for Insufficiency of Service of Process, filed.jj
4-7-75	7.	Brief in support of Mtn. to Dismiss, filed.jj
4-7-75	8.	Entry of Appearance and Appl. for Addt. time to plead or ans. until 5-6-75, filed.jj O to J
4-7-75		MO: It is Ordered that pltf. respond to deft's Mtn. to Dismiss within 10 days from this date.(AEB-J)jj
4-8-75	9	Order granted by AEB-J granting 30 days (May 6, '75) for deft. to plead or answer the complaint, filed. mm
4-18-75	10	to respond Motion to extend the time within which/ to deft's Special Appearance and Motion to Dismiss Action for Insufficiency of Service of Process until April 28, 1975, filed. lm (o to J)
4-22-75	11.	Order (part of appl.), filed, granting pltfs. until 4-28-75 to respond to Dr. Prothro's mot. to dismiss. (AEB-J)g
4-29-75	12.	Pltf's RESPONSE to motion to dismiss action for insufficiency of service of process, filed. lm
4-29-75	13.	Pltf's RESPONSE BRIEF to Deft. Prothro's Motion to Dismiss, filed. lm
5-6-75	14.	Motion to dismiss of all defts except Dr. George W. Prothro, filed. hm
5-6-75	15.	Defts' brief in support of mo/dismiss, filed. hm
5-6-75	16.	Motion to dismiss of deft. Floyd H. Oakley, filed. hm
5-6-75	17.	Deft's brief in support of mo/dismiss, filed. hm
5-6-75	18.	Motion to strike of all defts except Dr. George W. Prothro, filed. hm
5-6-75	19.	Defts' brief in support of mo/strike, filed. hm
5-7-75	MO:	Ordered that Pltf. file responsive brief to motions to dismiss and motion to strike filed on 5-6-75, within 10 days. (AEB-J)hm
5-21-75	MO	Case referred to Mag. Bradford for hrg/rec re pending mots. (AEB-J)k
9-12-75	20.	Affidavit of M. F. Reece stating that Dr. George W. Prothro never authorized him to accept or receive service of process directed to him or to be served upon him, filed. mm
9-12-75	21.	Affidavit of George W. Prothro stating that M. F. Reece never authorized him to accept or receive service of process directed to him or to be served upon him, filed. mm
9-17-75	22.	Suppl. memo. of pltf. in response to def. mo/dismiss and strike, filed. rm

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT		DOCKET NO. _____
				PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGES
DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS		
9-17-75		Case called for hearing before Magistrate on Deft. Geo. Prothro's motion to dismiss for insufficiency of service of process; motion to dismiss of all defts. except Dr. Geo. W. Prothro; Motion to dismiss of Deft. Floyd H. Oakley; Motion to strike of all defts except Dr. Prothro. / ^{parties represented} Defts motions sustained. Motion to strike of all defts except Dr. Prothro sustained without prejudice & Pltf. given 5 days to issue new service. Pltf. to prepare formal order & F&R in 10 days. (MLB-Mag)b		
9-18-75		Alias summons issued. mm		
9-22-75	23.	Findings and Recommendations of Magistrate, filed. Recommendations as set out in above minute with exception that Motion to Strike of all Defendants except Dr. Prothro be overruled without prejudice as premature. (MLB-Mag)k cps mld		
10-1-75		US Marshals Service ret. & filed by serving Summons, Complaint, & Brief on <u>Dr. George W. Prothro</u> , Tulsa on 9-26-75 W/fees of \$4.20.mm		
10-6-75	24	Order Approving Findings & Recommendations of Magistrate, filed & (AEB-J)b cps mld entered.		
10-15-75	25.	Motion to Dismiss of the Deft. Dr. George W. Prothro, filed. mm		
10-15-75	26.	Brief of Deft. Dr. George W. Prothro in Support of Motion to Dismiss, filed. mm		
10-15-75	27.	Motion to Strike of the Deft. Dr. George W. Prothro, filed. mm		
10-15-75	28.	Brief of Deft. Dr. George W. Prothro in Support of Motion to Strike, filed. mm (or briefs)		
10-15-75	MO	Ordered by the Ct. that the Pltf. file a responsive brief/within 10 days to the Motions to Dismiss and Strike of the Deft. Dr. George W. Prothro. (AEB-J) cps mld mm		
11-10	MO	Ordered by the Ct. that Plaintiffs be granted until 11-15-75 to file a response to deft. Prothro's mot. to strike and dismiss. (AEB-J)g ^{showed}		
11-10	MO	Case set for hrg. on def. Prothro's mo/dismiss and mo/strike before Mag. Bradford for 10 a.m., 11/19/75. (MLB-Mag)rm		
11-18	29.	Pltfs' resp. and brief to deft's mtn to dismiss, filed. ph		
11-19	MO:	Case passed to next motion docket on request of counsel. (MLB-Mag)b		
11-20	MO:	Case set for hearing before Mag. Bradford on Deft. Prothro's motion to dismiss compl. & amended compl. & Deft. Prothro's motion to strike on 12-9-75, 1:30 P.M. (MLB-Mag)b		
12-9	--	Case called for hearing on Deft. Prothro's Mot. to Dismiss Compl. & Amended Compl. and on Deft. Prothro's Mot. to Strike. Matters passed to next docket. (MLB-Mag.)v		
12-17	MO:	Case set for hrg. on Deft. Prothro's mo to dismiss and mo to strike, before Mag. Bradford on 1-15-76 at 9:00 a.m. (MLB-Mag.)ph		
1976				
1-16	MO:	Case called for hearing on motions before Mag. on Deft. Prothro's mo. to dismiss complt. and amended complt. and deft. Prothro's mo. to strike. Parties represented. Mag. recommends Prothro's mo. to dismiss complt. and amended complt. be sustained and deft's Prothro's mo. to strike as moot. Deft. counsel to prepare F & R within 5 days. (MLB-Mag)ph		

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. <u>75-C-98</u>
Ray Taylor, Jr., et al	Tulsa City-County Health Dept. et al	PAGE ____ OF ____ PAGES

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
<u>1976</u>		
1-19	30.	Findings and recommendations of Mag., filed. Mag. recommends 1) The mo. to Dismiss of Dr. George W. Prothro in his indiv. capacity & as Dir. of the Tulsa City-Co. Healty Dept. be sustained; and 2) The mo. to strike of Dr. George W. Prothro be declared moot because the mo. to dismiss is sustained.(MLB-Mag)ph cps. mld.
1-30	31.	and entered. Order, filed, that (1) the mot. to dismiss of Deft. Dr. Geo. W. Prothro, etc., is sustained; and (2) the Mot. to dismiss of Dr. Geo Prothro is declared moot. (AEB-J)v -cps. mld.

Defendants continued

~~FRANCIS F. CAMPBELL, Commissioner of the City of Tulsa and individually; JOHN P. THOMAS, JR., Commissioner of the City of Tulsa and individually; SID PATTERSON, Commissioner of the City of Tulsa and individually; WILLIAM H. MORRIS, JR., Commissioner of the City of Tulsa and individually; CARROLL GRIFFITH, Commissioner of the City of Tulsa and individually.~~